

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/871,774	74 06/01/2001 Roy Richa		1410-00	3000
67028 KLEHR HAR	7590 10/19/200° RISON ET AL	EXAMINER		
260 S. BROAD	STREET	•	CHORBAJI, MONZER R	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/871,774	RICHARDSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MONZER R. CHORBAJI	1797		

•			1			
	MONZER R. CHORBAJI	1797	·			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 October 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.	•				
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the let forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL	" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	Flank				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since			
B. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	. will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	-	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		mphant Amendment	(1 102-02-7).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).	nowable if submitted in a separate,	unicly med differential	ont canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>27,40,56 and 64</u> . Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-14</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affidar	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> e vit or other evidence i	ot be entered is necessary and			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).			
IO. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.			
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other:	GL COL	MB/L ADVS JP CORCOR	AN			
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER						

Application No. 09/871,774

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

It is noted that Applicant's amendment of claim 12 still includes the new matter limitaions of "by the weight of the canopy" in lines 9-10, which was rejected in paragraph 2 of the final office action mailed April 3, 2007. It is further noted that there is a typographical error in claim 64, line 2, "produced" should be --produce--